

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/932,494	LE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan T. Tran	1615	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non-Final

(1) Susan T. Tran.

(3) _____.

(2) Patricia K. Fitzsimmons.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

of record

Prior art documents discussed:

Mizumoto et al.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to incorporate "sodium laury sulfate and silicon dioxide" into all independent claims. Applicant's attorney preferred a non-final office action.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)